1	DRAFT/unapproved
2	TOWN OF WALLINGFORD
3	WALLINGFORD ARPA APPLICATION REVIEW COMMITTEE
4	WALLINGFORD TOWN HALL
5	ROOM 315
6	45 SOUTHMAIN STREET
7	WALLINGFORD, CT 06492
8	Friday, October 21, 2022
9	6:30 P.M.
10	RECORD OF VOTES AND MINUTES
11 12 13 14 15	The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m. The Pledge of Allegiance was said. Members in attendance were Carl Bonamico, Mike Brodinsky, Craig Fishbein, Robert Fritz, Mike Glidden, Bob Gross, Jacqueline McNamee, Christopher Regan, Jesse Reynolds (via Zoom) and Amy Walsh.
17	Absent – None
18 19 20 21	Members of the public – None
22 23 24	1. Discussion and Possible Action: The Determination of a Quorum and the Application of Quorum Principles
25 26 27 28 29	Mr. Brodinsky stated that every organization should have a quorum. The importance of having a quorum is you can't vote unless a quorum is present. The council decided that no resolution could be carried by this body unless there were seven votes. If there are recusals and/or absences, it may not be possible to meet that threshold.
31	Mr. Fritz questioned if the vote should be an odd number so that there would not be any ties?
32 33 34	Mr. Brodinsky stated if there is a tie vote the measure wouldn't carry.
35 36 37	Mr. Brodinsky went around the room to poll the members on their thoughts or questions in regards to the numbers of votes.
38	Motion to Comprise a Quorum of 6 Individuals
39 40	Made by: Mr. Fishbein
41	Seconded by: Mr. Regan

Vote to Comprise a Quorum of 6 Individuals:

44	Bonamico –	No	Brodinsky-	Yes
45	Fishbein –	Yes	Fritz -	Yes
46	Glidden –	No	Gross –	No
47	McNamee –	No	Regan –	Yes
48	Reynolds –	Yes	Walsh -	Yes

Total: Yes 6, No 4 Motion failed due to lack of 7 votes.

Motion to Comprise a Quorum of 7 Individuals

Made by: Mr. Gross

Seconded by: Mr. Reynolds and Mr. Glidden

Vote to Comprise a Quorum of 7 Individuals:

59	Bonamico –	Yes	Brodinsky-	Yes
60	Fishbein –	Yes	Fritz -	Yes
61	Glidden –	Yes	Gross –	Yes
62	McNamee –	Yes	Regan –	Yes
63	Reynolds –	Yes	Walsh -	Yes

Total: Yes 10 Motion passed.

2. Discussion: Ethics

Mr. Brodinsky discussed and shared the code of ethics with the members. Mr. Brodinsky noted that it is important for the public to see and understand that the committee is taking this seriously.

Mr. Brodinsky reviewed Chapter XX1. Ethics and Conflict of Interest with the members.

B. Conflict of Interest

No officer or employee shall have any interest, financial or otherwise, direct or indirect, which is in conflict with the proper discharge of his or her official duties or employment. Interest shall be as defined by the Code of Ethics or other ordinance and any applicable state law, as may be applicable to an individual case.

Any officer or employee who has, or knows he or she will have, such an interest shall disclose, in writing, the nature and extent of such interest to the chairman or agency, commission or board, of which he or she is a member, or, in the case of

an employee, to his or her superior, with a copy to the Board of Ethics. Such person shall not debate the matter and shall disqualify himself or herself from voting or acting on such matter.

Mr. Brodinsky stated that if there are any questions on the code of ethics to see Janis Small in the Law Department.

Mr. Brodinsky reviewed § 20-1 Concept Explained with the members.

The concept of ethics is a field based on the philosophy that standards of conduct can be expressed in an objective manner consistent with societal considerations and codified into established conventions, thus generating accepted professional standards of conduct. In applying this concept of ethics to municipal government, the professional standards of conduct for officials and employees of the Town of Wallingford are set forth in the Constitution of the United States, federal laws and regulations, the Constitution and General Statutes of the State of Connecticut, the Town Charter and ordinances and resolutions of the Town of Wallingford (including this Code of Ethics) and generally accepted societal norms and conventions for proper and ethical conduct.

Mr. Brodinsky referenced § 20-2 Purpose and pointed out "the public have confidence in the integrity of its government."

Mr. Brodinsky reviewed the code of ethics in regards to Interest and pointed out:

A. Any interest, direct or indirect, which is of financial or personal value to the Town official or employee which is not common to the interest of other citizens of the Town.

B. An indirect interest also exists if the official or employee has a conflict of duty as a result of a benefit or loss incurred by a relation or closely associated entity.

3. Discussion: The Process for Scoring Applications

Mr. Brodinsky discussed the process and how the committee will address the applications. The deadline for the applications is December 9, 2022. The applications then go to the consultants for review and then will release to the committee. The members of committees should then look over the applications at home. At the meeting the members should apply the criteria to the applications and assign a score. There will be three categories that the members will assign points for.

Mr. Brodinsky reviewed and referenced the last page for the Business and Nonprofit Applications.

Financial Review by Consultant Applicant will be required to provide Town's consultant with the following:

- Applicant's IRS 501 (c)3 determination letter
- Applicant's most recent audited financial statement
- Applicant's IRS Form 990 for 2019, 2020 and 2021, if required to file one
 - Any other financial information requested by consultant
 - Documentation to support funding request

Most of the members agreed with Mr. Brodinsky in regards to scoring the applications by adding all of the scores to get an average number and then put the applications into different tiers based off of the scores.

4. Discussion: Interviews

Mr. Brodinsky stated that the message should be loud and clear to the applicants that all of the applications should be completely filled out and all documents included as they will only get one shot to be approved. This will avoid applicants from making promises and representations that should be in writing.

Mr. Brodinsky pointed out that nonprofit applications should be treated differently as these applications will potentially have a lot more money involved. An increase in money may lead to due diligence going up.

Mr. Brodinsky polled the members to see if, hypothetically, there are 200+ business applications will the committee want to interview all of these applicants and if so what would the committee get out of the interviews that shouldn't have been on the written application?

Majority of the members concurred with Mr. Brodinsky in that nonprofit applicants should be interviewed as these applications are more complicated and will be requesting higher dollar amounts.

5. Discussion and Action: How much ARPA Funding is available

Mr. Brodinsky requested from the consultant a spreadsheet to plug in the maximum award amount that the businesses are entitled to as well as the maximum award amount that the nonprofit are entitled to. As the recommendations are made for the awards the amounts would be put into the spreadsheet to calculate the amount remaining to-recommend. The committee will also need to know how much in total is being awarded available to the businesses and how much in total is available to the nonprofits.

- Motion to authorize the Chairman to request the Town Council to issue a memorandum clarifying the exact dollar amount available for businesses and nonprofits
- 177 Made by: Mr. Glidden
- 178 Seconded by: Ms. Walsh

Vote to authorize the Chairman to request the Town Council to issue a memorandum clarifying the exact dollar amount available for businesses and nonprofits:

183	Bonamico –	Yes	Brodinsky-	Yes
184	Fishbein –	Yes	Fritz -	Yes
185	Glidden –	Yes	Gross –	Yes
186	McNamee –	Yes	Regan –	Yes
187	Reynolds –	Yes	Walsh -	Yes

Total: Yes 10 Motion passed.

6. Discussion and Action: How the Committee should handle Vote Requirement in the Event of Absences or Recusals

Motion to permit the Chairman to approach the Town Council to determine the issue of potential recusal and or absences in conjunction with the seven vote requirement

```
Made by: Mr. Fishbein Seconded by: Mr. Glidden
```

Vote to permit the Chairman to approach the Town Council to determine the issue of potential recusal and or absences in conjunction with the seven vote requirement:

205	Bonamico –	Yes	Brodinsky-	Yes
206	Fishbein –	Yes	Fritz -	Yes
207	Glidden –	Yes	Gross –	No
208	McNamee –	Yes	Regan –	Yes
209	Reynolds –	Yes	Walsh -	Yes

Total: Yes 9, No 1 Motion passed.

7. Discussion and Action: Meeting Dates

Mr. Brodinsky reviewed meeting dates with the members. Mr. Brodinsky polled the members to see if the applications are completed by December 9, 2022 would the members be able to review the applications prior to meeting before the Holidays or would the members need until January?

- 221 Motion to tentatively schedule a special meeting for the ARPA Committee on Wednesday,
- December 21, 2022 at 6:30 PM located at the Wallingford Town Hall in Room 315 or TBD
- 223 Made by: Mr. Glidden
- 224 Seconded by: Mr. Fishbein

```
225
226
      Vote to tentatively schedule a special meeting for the ARPA Committee on Wednesday,
      December 21, 2022 at 6:30 PM located at the Wallingford Town Hall in Room 315 or TBD:
227
228
      Bonamico –
                    Yes
                                  Brodinsky-
                                                Yes
229
      Fishbein –
                    Yes
                                  Fritz -
                                                Yes
230
      Glidden –
                    Yes
                                  Gross -
                                                Yes
231
232
      McNamee –
                    Yes
                                  Regan -
                                                Yes
      Reynolds –
                    Yes
                                  Walsh -
                                                Yes
233
234
235
      Total: Yes 10 Motion passed.
236
      Mr. Brodinsky reviewed the calendar with the committee to file regular meetings to be added to
237
      the agenda. These meetings will be held to assess the applications. There being no objections or
238
      abstentions, the committee agreed to the following dates:
239
240
241
             January 12, 2023 at 6:30 PM
             January 18, 2023 at 6:30 PM
242
             January 25, 2023 at 6:30 PM
243
244
             January 30, 2023 at 6:30 PM
             January 31, 2023 at 6:30 PM
245
             February 1, 2023 at 6:30 PM
246
247
      The committee unanimously agreed that these meetings will be held via Zoom in case of
248
      inclement weather.
249
250
251
252
      Next Steps/Feedback
253
254
      None
255
256
257
258
259
             8. Discussion and Action: Approve Minutes from September 21, 2022
260
      Motion to Approve the Minutes from September 21, 2022 as submitted
261
262
263
      Made by: Mr. Glidden
      Seconded by: Ms. McNamee
264
265
266
      Vote to Approve the Minutes from September 21, 2022 as submitted:
267
      Bonamico –
                    Yes
                                  Brodinsky-
                                                Yes
268
      Fishbein –
                    Yes
                                  Fritz -
                                                Yes
```

269

270

Glidden –

Yes

Gross -

Yes

271	McNamee – Yes	Regan –	Yes		
272	Reynolds – Yes	Walsh -	Yes		
273	•				
274	Total: Yes 10 Motion pass	sed.			
275	1				
276					
277					
278	ADJOURNMENT				
	ADJOURNWENT				
279					
280	Motion to Adjourn				
281					
282	Made by: Mr. Fishbein				
283	Seconded by: Mr. Glidden				
284	2				
285	Passed unanimously.				
286	i assed unanimously.				
	TT1 1. 1.		1 0 00		
287	The meeting was adjourned	at approximate	ly 9:08 p.m.		
288					
289	Respectfully submitted,				
290					
291					
292	Bernadette Sorbo				
293	Recording Secretary				