Town Clark

TOWN OF WALLINGFORD, CONNECTICUT

TOWN COUNCIL MEETING

February 21, 2006

6:30 P.M.

MINUTES

The following is a record of the minutes of the Wallingford Town Council at its regular meeting held on Tuesday, February 21, 2006, in the Robert Earley Auditorium of the Wallingford Town Hall. Town Council Chairman Robert F. Parisi Called the Meeting to Order at 6:37 P.M. Responding present to the Roll Call given by Town Clerk Barbara Thompson were Councilors Michael Brodinsky, Vincenzo M. Di Natale, Lois Doherty, Gerald E. Farrell, Jr., Stephen W. Knight, Iris F. Papale, Robert F. Parisi, Rosemary Rascati, and Vincent F. Testa, Jr. Mayor William W. Dickinson, Jr., Assistant Town Attorney Gerald E. Farrell, Sr. and Comptroller James Bowes were also present.

A prayer was offered by Reverend Margaret Jay of the First Congregational Church, Wallingford.

1. Pledge of Allegiance and Roll Call

2. Correspondence

None

Chairman Parisi announced awards received by the Principal of Sheehan High School and the Police Chief.

3. Consent Agenda

- **3a.** Consider and Approve Tax Refunds (#432 #499) totaling \$24,123.38 Account # 001-1000-010-1170 Tax Collector.
- **3b.** Note for the Record Mayoral Transfers Mayor
- **3c.** Note for the Record Anniversary Increases Mayor
- **3d.** Confirmation of Appointment of Attorney Fred Monahan, Jr. to the Personnel and Pensions Appeal Board for a five year term expiring 12/31/2010 Mayor

of Walling Council	ford, CT 2 February 21, 2006 Minutes	
 	141114405	
۰.		
3e.	Confirmation of Appointment of William Farrell to Pension Commission for a six-year term effective immediately and expiring 12/31/2011- Mayor	
3f.	Consider and Approve the Appointment of Joseph H. DaCunto as a Constable for a two-year term ending 01/25/08 - Chairman Robert F. Parisi	•
3g.	Consider and Approve the Appointment of William J. Nolan as a Constable for a two-year term ending 01/25/08 - Chairman Robert F. Parisi	
		•
3h.	Consider and Approve the Appointment of Timothy S. Wall as a Constable for a two-year term ending 01/25/08 - Chairman Robert F. Parisi	•
3i.	Consider and Approve the Appointment of Chester Miller as a Constable for a two-year term ending 01/25/08 - Chairman Robert F. Parisi	•
3j.	Consider and Approve a Transfer in the Amount of \$215 to Maintenance of Equipment Acct. # 6030-570-5200 from Regular Salaries Acct. # 6030- 101-1000 – Town Clerk	
3k.	Consider and Approve a Transfer in the Amount of \$1,000 to Overtime Acct. # 6030-101-1400 from Regular Salaries Acct. # 6030-101-1000 – Town Clerk	
31.	Consider and Approve a Transfer in the Amount of \$315 to Meetings, Seminars and Dues Acct. # 6030-701-7990 from Regular Salaries 6030-101-1000 – Town Clerk	
3m.	Consider and Approve an Appropriation of Funds in the amount of \$77,216 to Town Aid Road Expenditure and to Town Aid Road Revenue – Public Works	
3n.	Consider and Approve a Transfer in the Amount of \$1,300 to Office supplies and Expense Acct. # 431-8920-921 from Maintenance of Misc. Source Plant Acct # 431-8600-617– Water Division	
	Mr. Knight made a motion to accept Consent Agenda Items 3a. to 3n. Mr. Farrell seconded.	
	The vote was all Ayes.	
•		

3

February 21, 2006 Minutes

The motion passed.

4. Items Removed from the Consent Agenda

None

SWEARING IN

Town Clerk, Barbara Thompson, swore in Timothy S. Wall, Constable; Joseph H. DaCunto, Constable; William J. Nolan, Constable, all for two-year terms, ending 1/25/08.

5. PUBLIC QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD

The following people spoke:

Phil Wright, Sr., 160 Cedar Street, asked about Consent Agenda Item 3m.

Wes Lubee, 15 Montowese Trail, spoke in relation the Wooding Caplan project and where the RFP was advertised and how many times. Mr. Bowes said that it was regional and semi-national trade publications and he offered to get Mr. Lubee a list.

Robert Sheehan, 11 Cooper Avenue, complimented Public Works on the outstanding job in the last snowstorm and the foot of snow they handled.

It was clarified that the Council needs six Councilors to hold a meeting and five affirmative votes to pass an item as in the Charter.

Mr. Lubee asked about the whether the RFP ad invited people to ask for a copy of the Rose Study. Chairman Parisi said that the Rose Study was part of the RFP. He asked how many developers showed up for a tour of the propertyMr. Bowes said that could be supplied to him from the Purchasing Agent

Mark Goodfriend, 103 Algonquin Drive, also complimented Public Works regarding the snow removal. He said that the Elks recognized Mr. Parisi as the Outstanding Citizen of the Year. He next inquired about policy and procedure as it relates to the Sheehan Track and Field project in regard to bids and the next steps. Mayor Dickinson said that the bids are reviewed by the Purchasing Department and the School Building Committee and the low bidder is selected. Mr. Goodfriend asked what's next if the bids come in higher than there is available funds.

7.

February 21, 2006 Minutes

Mayor Dickinson said that to move forward there would have to be an appropriation of money requested by the School Building Committee and the Board of Education would have to be part of the process. The Council then votes on that request. The process requires an Ordinance with a minimum of one and one half to two months, which includes a Public Hearing and a thirty-day appeal period.

Mr. Lubee asked if developers were provided with a survey of the land because of the police impound lot and parking. He was referred to the Purchasing Agent and the Law Department. Mr. Knight said that a map accompanied the RFP indicating the property reserved for the Police.

Andrew Bravo, 608 North Elm Street, representing St. Paul's Episcopal Church on North Main Street and expressing that no developer has any agreements with the church and there are concerns regarding St. Paul's parking.

Mr. Lubee asked about an appraisal on the property as it relates to zoning.

Consider and Approve a Transfer in the Amount of \$10,000 to Maintenance of Vehicles Acct. # 2030-550-5000 from Volunteer Tax Abatement \$4,760 Acct # 2030-101-1800 and from Purchased Services -- C-Med \$5,240 Acct. # 2030-901-9016 -- Fire Chief

Mr. Knight made a motion to Consider and Approve a Transfer in the Amount of \$10,000 to Maintenance of Vehicles from Volunteer Tax Abatement \$4,760 and from Purchased Services – C-Med \$5,240 as presented by the Fire Chief. Mr. Farrell seconded.

Fire Chief Peter Struble attended the meeting.

The vote was all Ayes. The motion passed.

Chief Struble invited the Council for the Fire Department's Annual Swearing In Ceremony for Volunteer Officers and Awards Ceremony for Outstanding Service on Thursday night at 7:00P.M.at the Senior Center.

Consider and Approve a Transfer in the Amount of \$16,464 to Purchased Services Engineering Consultant (Meetinghouse Brook Project) Acct. # 001-5010-901-9040 from Contingency General Purpose Acct. # 001-7060-800-3190 -Engineering

Mr. Knight made a motion to Consider and Approve a Transfer in the Amount of \$16,464 to Purchased Services Engineering Consultant (Meetinghouse Brook Project) from Contingency General Purpose as presented by Engineering. Mr. Farrell seconded.

5

John Thompson, Town Engineer, explained that this project has been ongoing since the year 2000 for flooding and drainage problems on Meetinghouse Brook from the Meriden town line to the Rt. 5 underpass and that it was delayed. He said that it would be complete in about one year.

The vote was all Ayes. The motion passed.

Discussion and Action Regarding Acceptance of 0.9 Acres of land owned by the State of Connecticut conveyed to the Town for Trail and Recreational Purposes – Engineering

John Thompson, Town Engineer, explained a map that he displayed showing the areas of Phase III in relation to the Wilbur Cross Parkway. He pointed out the former Beaumont property and the Ahern-Rogers property on Fireworks Island. He said that Phase II, which included the construction of the bridge over the Quinnipiac River and tunnel under the parkway, is complete so that now the trail is on the west side of the Wilbur Cross Parkway. The plan is to continue north on these pieces of private property that were transferred to the town.

Mr. Knight made a motion to Accept of 0.9 Acres of land owned by the State of Connecticut conveyed to the Town for Trail and Recreational Purposes as presented by Engineering. Mr. Farrell seconded.

The vote was all Ayes. The motion passed.

9.

8.

Town of Wallingford, CT

Town Council

Consider and Approve a Transfer in the Amount of \$200,000 to Quinnipiac River Linear Trail – Phase III, Design and Construction Acct. # 302-1403-817-3053-00 from Quinnipiac River Linear Trail – Contingency Acct. # 302-1403-806-3040-00 – Engineering

Mr. Knight made a motion to Transfer the Amount of \$200,000 to Quinnipiac River Linear Trail – Phase III, Design and Construction from Quinnipiac River Linear Trail – Contingency as presented by Engineering. Mr. Farrell seconded.

John Thompson, Town Engineer.

There was no discussion.

The vote was all Ayes. The motion passed.

6

February 21, 2006 Minutes

14. Presentation of October 1, 2005 Revaluation Project - Comptroller

A presentation of the recent town revaluation was made by James Bowes, Comptroller, Shelby Jackson, Appraiser and Dennis Hlavac, Chief Appraiser. Mr. Ron Mota, Vision Appraisal, was unable to be present. The presentation is part of the record of the meeting.

(The presentation slides are incorporated into the permanent record.)

 10. Consider and Approve a Transfer in the Amount of \$17,500 to Injuries and Damages Acct. # 431-8920-925 from various accounts

 Water Division

> Mr. Knight made a motion to Transfer the Amount of \$17,500 to Injuries and Damages from various accounts as presented by the Water Division. Mr. Farrell seconded.

The vote was all Ayes. The motion passed.

11. Consider and Approve a Transfer in the Amount of \$10,000 to Maintenance Pumping Equipment Acct. # 461-8620-633 from Chem. Exp. \$5,500 Acct. # 461-8640-641 and from Maint. Treatment Equipment \$4,500 Acct. # 461-8640-652 – Sewer Division

> Mr. Knight made a motion to Transfer the Amount of \$10,000 to Maintenance Pumping Equipment from Chem. Exp. \$5,500 and from Maint. Treatment Equipment \$4,500 as presented by the Sewer Division. Mr. Farrell seconded.

The vote was all Ayes. The motion passed.

12. Discussion and Action Regarding Appropriation or Transfer for Water Division due to Repairs (time sensitive matter still being investigated 2/14/06) – Water Division

Mr. Knight made a motion to table Item 12, regarding Appropriation or Transfer for Water Division due to Repairs. Mr. Farrell seconded.

The vote was all Ayes. The motion passed, and the item was tabled.



7

February 21, 2006 Minutes

15. Discussion and Possible Action regarding Revision of Timelines, etc. for the Wooding Caplan Project – Wooding Caplan Committee and Councilor Mike Brodinsky

Mr. Brodinsky made a statement about the boundary line of the Police Parking area in regard to the RFP and said that he thinks that there needs to be a better and fairer way to address the police boundary issue.

(Audio tape #2 of this portion of the meeting malfunctioned)

Mr. Knight said there was a meeting with the Mayor, the Town Engineer, the Police Chief and himself where he said the subject the police parking lot. Mr. Knight said a motion passed unanimously put the line back where the Committee and the Rose Report had put it for sometime. This was an area of contention and that it was subject to negotiation. Those caveats were entered into the RFP. He said that there were many discussions regarding the timeline and that their original timeline was much too quick, and that the Chairman determined to change these special meetings. Mr. Knight thought that it was only fair to make reference to certain portions of the RFP to the developers to prepare the developers to discuss something that was in the RFP, so they wouldn't be blindsided. He said that there was no rewriting of the RFP. **Chairman Parisi** said that he authorized the letter to remind the developers.

Ms. Papale said that she originally voted for the purchase of the property to support the Wallingford Police Department. She said that when the letter was sent to the developers that all of the Council should have known about it.

Mayor Dickinson said last September there was discussion about where the line should go and at that time he said that the line wouldn't work the way it is being proposed and that after that the Town Engineer pursuant to a request from the Town Attorney reviewed the area and conveyed to the Council that it would not work. He said that the Council responded to go ahead with the RFP as it is and adjust it in the future. He said that the departments indicated that if the line stays where it is, parking will be reduced, causing employee parking to be on streets and other places that cause complaints. Departments have been consistent in saying that it should be dealt with. He said that anything is possible but that the issue is that the town has to live with the consequences. He said if the needs of the departments are considered then it requires a change in the line.

Mr. Testa said that this is a big issue and does not accept that it took from September until February to decide what the problem is. There is an issue with the map that is in the RPF. He said that he was not part of any

8

February 21, 2006 Minutes

discussions where he was warned that there could be problems and he received no letters and did not see the map and didn't know any of this until tonight. He said that to change the police boundary by 40' materially alters the RFP that the developers received which means that all of the proposals have been revised. **Mayor Dickinson** said that there was a written communication to the Council subsequent to changing the line that indicated that it had been reviewed by the departments and that the boundary didn't work and the desire was to go forward with the RFP and adjust it once the results are in. He said that's why the RFP was changed in order to reflect some issue over that line and the need for negotiation or the potential for it. He said that this issue has been there all along and there have been efforts to discuss it.

Ms. Papale said that the Council people that she spoke with were fine with the RFP, and everything was going well until there was an article in the paper that the Police Chief was concerned about the parking. She read a letter dated September 23, 2006 to the Town Attorney from the Town Engineer stating that if the property line is moved 40' to the north as suggested by the Town Council the following impacts result from this change - police parking lot drops to 47 spaces from 63; it creates a deadend aisle versus the internal circulation plan and complicates snow removal operations; the impound lot has to be relocated; Wallace Street right of way has to be extended 40' to the north to provide a second means of access to the police department lot and parking lot is reduced in the land area by 37%. Based on the impacts noted, the memo stated that relocating the proposed southerly property line for the Police Department parking lot is not desirable. She noted another memo about Wooding Caplan RFP from the Town Attorney on September 26, 2006, and finally, a letter from Jim Vumbaco to the entire Council, whose subject was the Wooding Caplan RFP, and stated that as a result of the Town Engineer memo, the Town Attorney would like to add to the following statement to the RFP:

"The area designated as excluded land may be adjusted during negotiations between the parties unless a majority of the Council disagrees, Janis will let the RFP out with this language. Let me know by Wednesday if you have a concern."

So the Council knows it was out and nobody is saying that.

Chairman Parisi said that they didn't get any different line that their attention was called to the RFP that it would be negotiated. **Mr. Knight** said that his language in the letter that went to the developers is being misinterpreted and that he was pointing out language that was already in the RFP; he was highlighting it and not changing the RFP or suggesting that the developers had to alter their proposals but to be prepared to answer questions regarding this matter.

February 21, 2006 Minutes

Mr. Brodinsky said that the key issue is the impact on someone giving a fair reading to the RFP. It includes time, money, energy and the beneficiary of that is the Town of Wallingford, and if the process is somehow flawed and we don't get the best possible proposal because of misunderstandings and miscommunications, the developers and the Town of Wallingford are the victims. Any fair reading of this RFP which shows a line and an addendum which says if the developer wants to change it, we may discuss it. And that now just before the presentations it's said by the way the line is going to be moved by 40'. He said 40' is a big deal for a developer and that is not how he wants Wallingford to work.

9

MOTION

Mr. Brodinsky moved that if a different development area other than what is shown on the map in the RFP is to be discussed on February 22 and February 23, all timelines are to be voided until we get real information on and debate the parking needs of the Police Department and we discuss as a Council a method of proceeding that is not only fair but appears fair.

Mr. Testa seconded.

Ms. Doherty questioned who received the memos that were brought up by Ms. Papale and to whom they were sent in particular about the comment that was made about the RFP including the possibility of negotiating that line. **Ms. Papale** said that was sent from Jim Vumbaco to the entire Council on October 3, 2005 and that it was emailed to every Council member.

Chairman Parisi said that the lack of a letter is acknowledged but that he disagrees that the RFP has been altered in any way. He said that the RFP stands for everyone and if the developer who is selected will be facing the town in that item as a negotiated item. He said there is no predetermined area or set amount of parking or 60' or 80'. **Ms. Doherty** read, "The area designated as excluded land may be adjusted during negotiations between the parties." She said that this is what the Town Attorney wanted to add to the RFP. She continued, "Unless a majority of the Council disagrees (which we did not), Janis will let the RFP out with this language. **Mr. Knight** said that nothing has been decided about the line, not one thing. He said our options are still open.

Mr. Testa asked where the two places are in the RFP that this is mentioned. Mr. Knight said it's a footnote on the map, and he believes that Mike mentioned the addendum. Mr. Brodinsky said that no the addendum said the opposite. The addendum said that if the developer

February 21, 2006 Minutes

would like to move the line we might be able to talk about it but it never reversed it and said the Town has a right to move the line so reading the addendum fairly would lead someone to believe that the town would not be moving the line.

Chairman Parisi asked for comments on the motion from the Council. There were none and he called on the public. For clarification on the motion, Mr. Brodinsky restated his motion.

RESTATEMENT OF MOTION

Mr. Brodinsky moved that if a different development area and that means different than what appears in the written RFP is to be discussed on February 22 and February 23, all timelines that have been established by the Wallingford Town Council are to be voided until we get more information on and debate the parking needs of the Police Department and we discuss a method of proceeding in view of this change that is not only is fair but also appears fair.

Chairman Parisi said point of information and asked what Mr. Brodinsky means by area. **Mr. Brodinsky** said that right now the map has sixty feet as a designated area for police parking and that's about sixty feet wide and that is shown on the map as the area called 'Town Owned Land Excluded from Development Proposal Approximately .5 acres' and that is what he is referring to. He said that the intent of the motion is that if anyone starts to discuss a proposal that has a larger police parking area, then all the timelines are voided. **Chairman Parisi** asked if a developer is selected and then there is a negotiation over that piece of land, what happens. **Mr. Brodinsky** said that if there is negotiation that allows for change of the price, the building, that it rearranges the height, it rearranges the green space. It rearranges everything, and that there is a possibility of that. He called it bait and switch and that the Council should not allow for the possibility.

Mr. Testa said that his understanding of the motion and the practical implications of it would be that this process would take a little longer and the reason for that would be is if we ask the developers to consider a different property line, they should all be given the opportunity to make their alterations so that we are still considering five proposals. He doesn't think that it is proper to negotiate the change in property line after the selection of a proposal because that alteration could be the worst thing that could happen. If the town wants a larger police impound then all the developers have to be given the opportunity to alter their proposals accordingly and we would need a longer timeline.

February 21, 2006 Minutes

Chairman Parisi said that right now the RFP is and RFP for everyone. It hasn't changed. It isn't altered. There is nothing different. Everyone has an equal opportunity to submit their proposal based on what has been issued by the town. That proposal is out there and we're going to listen to what their proposal are tomorrow night and the night after. Mr. Testa said that you gave them a warning that something might be changed. Chairman Parisi said that we shouldn't get into innuendoes about the process. Right now it's a level field for every developer. Mr. Testa said that from a practical perspective in what's the right way to go forward, the point is if we've alerted them that there could be a change in this line to be prepared to discuss it and that's fine. When the idea was proposed that we could award this and then ask the winning bidder to deal with the possible change in the line, that is altogether incorrect. He thinks that all of the bidders need to have an opportunity to make an alteration in their proposal if there is going to be a change in the property line. He referred to the addendum, read it and added that it does not say that the town may want to change the boundary.

There was continued debate on this issue.

Robert Krombel, 38 North Elm Street, talked about the impacts of the boundary change. He said that if the Council wants the best for Wallingford, then give the developers the time to adjust to what the Council wants.

John Bradley, 43 Academy Street, said that the land belongs to the public and what is fair to the public. He spoke about the timing of the review and decision process in regard to a change. He said that he supports changing the timeline so that the public has the chance to respond to them.

Chairman Parisi asked for the motion to be read again.

RESTATEMENT OF MOTION

Mr. Brodinsky: If a different development area, and that means different than what appears in the written RFP, is to be discussed on February 22 and February 23, all timelines that have been established by the Wallingford Town Council are to be voided until we get more information on and debate the parking needs of the Police Department, and until such time as we can as a Council, as a group, discuss a method of proceeding that not only is fair but also appears fair.

Mr. Brodinsky asked for a Council meeting to be attended by the Police Chief and the Wooding Caplan Study Committee for their input as well as the public. If the Council redraws the line, then the developers have the

12

February 21, 2006 Minutes

opportunity to adjust their plans in a reasonable way to give us a quality proposal.

Chairman Parisi said again that the RFP has not been changed. Mr. Testa read the letter that was sent February 16 to the developers at Ms. Doherty's request. He said the letter noted the change in schedule in paragraph one, and then the second paragraph said,

"We would also like to refer you to Note 4 in Exhibit A; i.e., the map of the Wooding-Caplan property. Please be prepared to discuss the impact to your proposed project of a forty-foot southward adjustment of the line referred to in this note."

Lucille Trzcinski, 25 Turnberry Road, spoke in favor of Mr. Brodinsky's motion so that the needs of the Police Chief's can be defined.

Caryl Ryan, 200 Cheshire Road, Co-Chair of the Wooding Caplan Study Committee, noted that the Committee has been working on this for at least two and one half years and that from the very beginning the importance of the police parking and impound lots was made very clear and that Chief Dortenzio sat in on their meetings and gave input. She said that this area was always included in the Committee's Report and that of the Rose Committee. She said it was excluded from the area that was to be developed, always held aside. She said that the Committee in going through the proposals have questions about the impound lot. She said that more time is needed to deal with the perimeters, the proposals, for the developers and to meet with Chief Dortenzio.

Mayor Dickinson offered the suggestion that given the Committee is acting at the behest of the Council that they not move forward until the Committee has reviewed the matter to get beyond this as an issue and then move forward. He said to let the Committee deal with it.

Mr. Brodinsky withdrew the motion.

Mr. Testa withdrew his second

NEW MOTION

Mr. Brodinsky made a new motion that this issue regarding Police Parking, the drawing of the line, where the line is going to be, be referred to the Wooding Caplan Study Committee for advice and that we bring this back to the Council at the proper time for some collective action on how to proceed.

Mr. Testa seconded.

13

February 21, 2006 Minutes

ROLL CALL VOTE:

Mr. Brodinsky – yes; Mr. DiNatale – abstain; Ms. Doherty – no; Mr. Farrell – abstain; Mr. Knight – yes; Ms. Papale – yes; Mr. Parisi – yes; Ms. Rascati – yes; Mr. Testa – yes. 6 yes; 1 no; 2 abstain

The motion passed.

13. Consider and Approve an Appropriation of Funds in the amount of \$304,000 to Conservation Expense Acct. # 909 and to Sales Residential \$104,900 Acct. # 440 and to Sales Commercial/Industrial \$187,400 Acct. # 442 and to Sales Public Street and Highway Lighting \$1,300 Acct. # 444 and to Sales-Other Public Authority \$10,400 Acct. # 445 – Electric Division

Mr. Knight made a motion to Approve an Appropriation of Funds in the amount of \$304,000 to Conservation Expense and to Sales Residential \$104,900 and to Sales Commercial/Industrial \$187,400 and to Sales Public Street and Highway Lighting \$1,300 and to Sales-Other Public Authority \$10,400 as requested by the Electric Division. Mr. Farrell seconded.

Richard Hendershot, Electric Division, General Manager, presented background information regarding the transfer. He said that it is a bookkeeping exercise that is necessary to recognize both the increased revenues and the anticipated increase in expenses.

There were not questions or comments.

By voice six (6) Councilors voted Aye. (Not in the room for the vote Doherty, Brodinsky, and Testa)

The motion passed

Executive Session pursuant to Section 1-200 (6) (D) of the Connecticut General Statutes with respect to the purchase, sale and/or leasing of property – Mayor

17. Executive Session pursuant to Section 1-200 (6) (E) with regard to strategy and/or negotiation with respect to collective bargaining - Personnel

Mr. Knight made a motion to enter into Executive Session pursuant to:

- 16. Section 1-200 (6) (D) of the Connecticut General Statutes with respect to the purchase, sale and/or leasing of property as presented by the Mayor.

16.

17. Section 1-200 (6) (E) with regard to strategy and/or negotiation

14

with respect to collective bargaining as presented by Personnel.

Mr. Farrell seconded.

Town of Wallingford, CT

Town Council

By voice five (5) Councilors voted Aye. (Not in the room for the vote Doherty, Papale, Parisi and Testa)

The motion passed.

The Council entered into Executive Session at 9:42 P.M. with all nine Councilors in attendance.

Mr. Testa moved to come out of Executive Session. Mr. Farrell seconded the motion.

All nine (9) Councilors voted Aye. The motion passed.

The Council exited from Executive Session at 9:58 P.M.

Executive Session Attendance Item #16 at 9:42 P.M.: Council (8), Mayor Dickinson and Gerald Farrell, Sr., Assistant Town Attorney. (Mr. Testa was out of the room for this session.)

Executive Session Attendance Item #17 at 9:45 P.M.: Council (9), Mayor Dickinson and Gerald Farrell, Sr., Assistant Town Attorney, Terence Sullivan, Personnel Director and James Hutt, Assistant Personnel Director.

Discussion and Possible Action regarding the Police Interest Arbitration Award as discussed in Executive Session Police Interest Arbitration Award - Personnel

No action taken.

18.

Mr. Knight made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Farrell seconded.

All nine (9) Councilors voted Aye. The motion passed.

There being no further business to consider, the meeting adjourned at 9:59 P.M.

. 15

February 21, 2006 Minutes

Respectfully submitted Randra (9) Y, Heeks

Sandra R. Weekes Town Council Secretary

Meeting recorded by Sandra R. Weekes

ause Robert F. Parisi, Chairman

Barbara Thompson, Town Clerk

Date

RECEIVED FOR RECORD 10-6-06 AT 2 HOOM AND RECORDED BY TOWN CLERK